

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

Kenneth J. Ward,

Plaintiff,

V.

Conn Appliances, Inc.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.: 4:16-cv-2199

## COMPLAINT JURY

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Kenneth J. Ward, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

## JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").

2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

## PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Kenneth J. Ward (“Plaintiff”), is an adult individual residing in Richmond, Texas, and is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. Defendant Conn Appliances, Inc. (“Conn”), is a Texas business entity with an address of 4055 Technology Forest Boulevard, Suite 210, The Woodlands, Texas 77381-2008, and is a “person” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

### **FACTS**

5. Within the last year, Conn began placing calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 281-xxx-6016, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS").

6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Conn, he heard silence and had to wait on the line before he was connected to the next available representative.

7. On or about September 21, 2015, Plaintiff spoke with a representative and requested that all calls to him cease.

8. Nevertheless, Conn continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

### **COUNT I** **VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq.**

9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

10. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer.

11. In expanding on the prohibitions of the TCPA, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..."*2003 TCPA Order*, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before

disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned.” *Id.*

12. Defendant telephone system(s) have some earmarks of a predictive dialer.

13. When Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, he heard silence before Defendant’s telephone system would connect him to the next available representative.

14. Defendant’s predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.

15. Defendant placed automated calls to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

16. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

17. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant’s continued calls.

18. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for “emergency purposes” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

19. As a result of each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

20. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- B. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- C. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS**

Dated: July 22, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jenny DeFrancisco

Jenny DeFrancisco, Esq.  
CT Bar No.: 432383  
LEMBERG LAW LLC  
A Connecticut Law Firm  
43 Danbury Road  
Wilton, CT 06897  
Telephone: (203) 653-2250  
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424